



MISSOURI RIGHT TO LIFE

Transforming society to respect and protect all innocent human life.

December 2007

SECRETARY OF STATE SUED OVER BALLOT INITIATIVE SUMMARY

Jim Cole, General Counsel

On October 10, 2007, Secretary of State Robin Carnahan issued her official ballot summary of the pro-life constitutional initiative that was submitted by Missouri Cures Without Cloning (MOCWC). The summary was even more biased against the pro-life side than was expected. For the first time in memory, columnists in the state's major metropolitan newspapers took a Secretary of State to task for the manner in which she ignored the applicable legal requirements by issuing an obviously misleading ballot summary.

According to Secretary Carnahan, the Missouri Cures Without Cloning initiative would repeal the current ban on cloning and limit people's access to stem cell therapies and cures that the voters approved in 2006. These statements are demonstrably false in multiple ways, only a few of which will be mentioned here: (i) the MOCWC initiative does not repeal anything; (ii) the MOCWC initiative tightens the definition on cloning so that a ban on cloning is more effective, not less; (iii) what is in the current constitution is not a ban on cloning, anyway, but a guarantee that cloning can be performed; and

The summary was even more biased against the pro-life side than was expected. For the first time in memory, columnists in the state's major metropolitan newspapers took a Secretary of State to task...

(iv) the initiative has no effect on the ability of people to obtain therapies or treatments, whether in Missouri or elsewhere.

Missouri Cures Without Cloning has filed a lawsuit in Cole County Circuit Court (Jefferson City) to overturn the misleading summary of the Secretary of State and replace it with language that is "neither intentionally argumentative nor likely to create prejudice either for or against the proposed measure," as required by section 116.334, RSMo. Additional relief is sought under the federal and state constitutions.

The cloners are not sitting on the sidelines; they have sued not only the Secretary of State,

but also the State Auditor. They charge that the Auditor did not do an adequate job of describing the fiscal impact of the MOCWC initiative on state and local government in the "fiscal note" portion of the ballot summary. The goal of the opponents is to drag out the litigation for as long as possible and reduce the time available to obtain signatures.

The lawsuit is pending before a circuit judge in Jefferson City. At the time of this writing, a trial date has not been set.

MISSOURI MANIPULATION *Don't get conned on cloning*

by Cathy Ruse

The human-cloning fight rages on in Missouri and state officials are once again taking sides and tricking voters. But possibly the worst fraud yet came Wednesday (October 10, 2007) from Secretary of State Robin Carnahan.

Last year a pro-cloning constitutional amendment, disguised as an anti-cloning measure and promising "treatments and cures," passed by a razor-thin margin — despite a record-breaking \$30 million dollar campaign war chest, financed almost exclusively by one billionaire with big plans. It took the art of dishonest politics to a whole new level.

To right that wrong, a grassroots group called Cures Without Cloning is promoting a measure to give Missourians another chance to ban human cloning. Their measure would allow voters to ban the act of creating cloned human embryos for research — conduct which last year's measure raised to the

level of a constitutional right.

But Carnahan would rather con them. Yesterday, Secretary Carnahan issued her official ballot summary for the anti-cloning measure. It says the Cures without Cloning initiative would amend the state constitution "to repeal the current ban on human cloning or attempted cloning and to limit Missouri patients' access to stem cell research, therapies and cures approved by voters in November 2006."

This is sheer propaganda, and it's not even subtle.

She played this same game last year. The ballot language she issued for Amendment 2 said the measure would ban human cloning. This ignored the fact that the fine print created a constitutional right to do somatic cell nuclear transfer, which is the scientific name for cloning and is the same procedure

(CONTINUED NEXT PAGE)

MISSOURI MANIPULATION - cont.

used to clone Dolly the Sheep.

In fact, a Missouri appeals court judge even ruled last year that Carnahan's Amendment 2 ballot language would "tend to mislead" voters. There can be no doubt that it did just that.

Now Carnahan's shenanigans are back, and worse than ever.

Missourians deserve better than this. Every poll ever taken shows that Missourians don't want human cloning to be legal in their state, and they ought to have the chance to go to the polls, and to make this part of their law.

The United Nations has passed a resolution against all forms of human cloning. Several European countries have

Every poll ever taken shows that Missourians don't want human cloning to be legal in their state, . . .

banned it, as have several neighboring states.

The only way to keep human cloning legal in Missouri is through lies. And Secretary of State Carnahan has just dropped a whopper.

©2007 by National Review Online, www.nationalreview.com. Reprinted by Permission. This article first appeared Oct. 12, 2007 on NRO. It's author is Attorney Cathy Ruse, a senior fellow for legal studies at the Family Research Council.



Thought You'd Like To Know . . .

. . . . Britain's Human Fertilization and Embryology Authority announced September 5 that it would consider license applications from researchers wishing to create hybrid human-animal embryos for use in destructive stem cell research.

In addition, the government has proposed a bill that would enshrine the creation and destruction of these hybrid embryos in law, according to the Daily Mail. The license committee is considering applications that propose replacing genetic material in an animal ovum with human DNA. An electric current would then be used to stimulate the development of an embryo, who would develop for a few days and then be destroyed to harvest stem cells.

"Human beings are unique and distinct from all other creatures," Scotland's Catholic bishops said in a statement. "Our natural distaste at the prospect of mixing species reflects a natural intuition that a moral boundary is being crossed."

In addition to serious moral objections, pro-lifers and others warn that hybrid embryos are unlikely to fulfill the outrageous claims of their supporters, who say they will lead to cures for diseases like Alzheimer's and Parkinson's.

"The creation of hybrids is being promoted by those with interests in getting money from the government's stem cell research fund," Anthony Ozimic, political secretary of the Society for the Protection of Unborn Children (SPUC), said in a press release. "Yet again, patients with degenerative diseases are being exploited. They and their families are being sold lies and false hope by the profit-hungry biotech industry."

National Right to Life News Sept. 2007

. . . . President Bush honored two leading pro-life advocates during a White House ceremony with the highest honor the government can give to a civilian. Family members of Henry Hyde and Oscar Biscet accepted the Presidential Medal of Freedom on their behalf.

Former member of Congress Henry Hyde became the pro-life movement's elder statesman on Capitol Hill after his landmark Hyde Amendment stopped federal funding of virtually all abortions.

"Congressman Hyde spent more than three decades as a towering figure on Capitol Hill," Bush said in presenting the award. "Colleagues were struck by his extraordinary intellect, his deep convictions, and eloquent voice," the president said. "In committee and in the House chamber, the background noise would stop when Henry Hyde had the floor."

Bush explained that Hyde "used his persuasive powers for noble causes." "He was a gallant champion of the weak and forgotten, and a fearless defender of life in all its seasons," the president said.

Bob Hyde appeared at the ceremony on behalf of his father who wasn't able to attend because of health issues.

Meanwhile, the children of Oscar Biscet were on hand to accept the award for their father who is currently jailed in Cuba for protesting abortions there.

"For speaking the truth Dr. Biscet has endured repeated harassment, beatings, and detentions. The international community agrees that Dr. Biscet's imprisonment is unjust, yet the regime has refused every call for his release," President Bush said.

"God willing, he'll soon regain his freedom, as justice demands," the president added.

Biscet was arrested and served three years in a prison camp after publishing an article condemning abortion. After his release, Biscet was again arrested and is serving 22 years in prison for anti-government views.

LifeNews.com Nov. 6, 2007



Stem Cell Research: Test your knowledge

1. Embryonic stem cell research and human cloning are illegal in the United States.

True or false?

2. Somatic cell nuclear transfer does not produce a human embryo. It just produces stem cells that are a match to the patient.

True or false?

3. According to researchers, which of the following diseases and conditions is least likely to benefit from embryonic stem cell research?

- A. Multiple sclerosis B. Diabetes
C. Alzheimers D. Cancer

4. Embryonic stem cell research has shown little progress, been fraught with problems, and failed to live up to the hype surrounding the research.

True or false?

5. All stem cell research is unethical.

True or false?

6. In 2004, which state passed a ballot measure authorizing the use of \$3 billion taxpayer dollars to fund embryonic stem cell research and human cloning?

- A. Texas B. California
C. Massachusetts D. New York

7. The current political question surrounding embryonic stem cell research is whether or not the government should (fill in the blank).

8. Embryonic stem cell researchers are currently using leftover embryos from in-vitro fertilization clinics and will eventually need another source of human embryos. Some scientists are proposing that human-animal hybrid embryos be created through somatic cell nuclear transfer to use for the research.

True or false?

Answers

1. False. Embryonic stem cell research, along with human cloning, is legal in the United States.

2. False. Somatic cell nuclear transfer is the scientific name for cloning.

3. C - Alzheimers

4. True. Embryonic stem cell research is still in the animal testing stage and has not treated or cured a single human. The results in animal tests have so far been disappointing.

5. False. Embryonic stem cell research is unethical because it requires the destruction of a human embryo to obtain the stem cells; adult stem cell research is ethical because it does not harm the stem cell donor.

6. B - California

7. ...fund this research with tax dollars. President Bush has twice vetoed bills that would have forced taxpayers to fund this unethical research.

8. True. Scientists in Britain have already been granted permission to use cow eggs to create human-animal hybrid cloned embryos for stem cell research.

LEGISLATIVE UPDATE

PLANNED PARENTHOOD FILES SECOND LAWSUIT

On October 22, 2007, Planned Parenthood of Kansas and Mid-Missouri filed a state-court lawsuit against state officials, seeking a declaration of the unconstitutionality of that portion of HB 1055 that mandates all abortion offices to meet the standards of ambulatory surgical centers. Similar relief has already been sought in Planned Parenthood's federal-court lawsuit.

At the time of writing this article, a copy of the petition filed by Planned Parenthood has not yet been obtained, so it is not clear to what extent the state-court lawsuit repeats the allegations made in the federal action. Usually, two lawsuits that assert similar facts and theories are not allowed to be pending at the same time. To the extent that the legal theories of the two actions overlap, it will be interesting to see how Planned Parenthood explains to the state judge why it is clogging his or her docket with arguments that it has already made to a federal judge in a courthouse down the street.

To date, the summons that will be served on the defendants has not been issued, and no motions have been scheduled.

In the federal lawsuit, the period established by U. S. District Judge Ortrie Smith for the parties to attempt to reach a negotiated settlement on which exact requirements will apply and which will be modified as allowed in the state's regulations has not yet expired as of the time of this writing.



Memorials

In memory of a loved one or friend, or to honor a special occasion, these gifts were made to Missouri Right to Life.

In Memory of:

Dr. Robert N. Miller

Given by:

The Cunningham Family

Bobby & Patricia Duck

Joan Goddard Powell

Mary Lowe

Kathleen Martin

Robert Magruder

Marilyn Weber Griesedieck

In Honor of:

Sr. Paula Marie, OCD

Given by:

Maria & Gerry Everding

Political CORNER

Missouri Presidential Primary Election

February 5, 2008

Missouri Right to Life Political Action Committee encourages you to know the positions of the candidates on life issues before you vote in February's primary. Then vote pro-life! The candidates listed are those filed for the primary. They have stated their positions as follows:

REPUBLICANS

Ron Paul

Fred Thompson

Mitt Romney

Mike Huckabee

Tom Tancredo

The above candidates oppose *Roe v Wade*, the Supreme Court Decision that legalized abortion-on-demand, oppose human cloning, and oppose embryonic stem cell research

Rudy Giuliani

Supports *Roe v Wade*, opposes human cloning, supports embryonic stem cell research

John McCain

Opposes *Roe v Wade*, the Supreme Court Decision that legalized abortion-on-demand, position unknown on human cloning, supports embryonic stem cell research

Virgil L R Wiles

Positions Unknown

DEMOCRATS

Hillary Clinton

Barack Obama

John Edwards

The above candidates are pro-abortion, pro-cloning, and pro-embryonic stem cell research

Blunt Supported With Huge Donation from Pro-Cloning Group

According to an article in the October 16 St. Louis Post-Dispatch, Gov. Matt Blunt received a \$250,000 campaign donation from a group that backs human embryonic stem cell research. The contribution was received just days before the Missouri Supreme Court reinstated campaign donation limits. The article notes that it is unclear whether Blunt will be able to keep most of the \$250,000 because of the reinstated donation limits.

Blunt's October 15 campaign finance statement recorded the contribution and helped Blunt maintain a significant financial lead over his likely Democratic gubernatorial rival, Missouri Attorney General Jay Nixon, also a backer of human embryonic stem cell research.

Blunt, contrary to his earlier promises to Missouri Right to Life representatives, supports human embryonic stem cell research in the state and was an ally of the anti-life Amendment 2 on last year's election ballot.

The Post-Dispatch article, written by political reporters Jo Mannies and Jaimi Dowdell, reports, "Blunt's generous donor was Supporters of Health Research and Treatments, a Kirkwood-based political action committee. It has ties to the coalition that successfully won statewide approval of Amendment 2, which protects forms of embryonic stem cell research allowed under federal law.

"The PAC said in a statement that its donation to Blunt, who also supported Amendment 2, underscored that, 'We support candidates who are strong and articulate champions of the freedom to conduct medical research in Missouri, and those who are open to learning more. ...'"

What the PAC's statement fails to mention is the nature of the medical research – killing human embryos and cloning human beings for the benefit of the biotech industry. The PAC's only other major donation recorded in its latest report was \$150,000 to the national Democratic Governors Association. The group previously gave \$25,000 to Nixon.

Donn Rubin, who chaired the Amendment 2 campaign, is deputy treasurer of the Research and Treatments PAC.

Calling ALL Aspiring Pro-Life Candidates

Dave Plemmons, Chairman
Missouri Right to Life PAC

When I was younger and uneducated about politics, I fell prey to a common American mind set. I thought you had to be rich, highly educated, and good-looking if you aspired to get into public office.

Since then, I've seen how inaccurate that mind set can be.

Missouri Right to Life Political Action Committee (MRL-PAC) is hoping some future candidates are reading this article, because we need your help correcting a situation that now exists at the state Capitol.

Please don't get me wrong. Some good things have been done to help lessen abortions in our state. They would not have been possible without an anti-abortion Governor and lawmakers.

At the same time, a troubling trend has emerged. While there are numerous legislators that abhor abortion, many see abortion as the only necessary issue on which to base their pro-life credentials.

Missouri Right to Life is concerned with all threats to innocent human life, from the embryonic stage forward. We all are deserving of respect. It is erroneous and misleading to portray oneself as pro-life without a willingness to protect living human embryos.

In Missouri, we are seeing politicians run, hide, conceal, deceive, and forsake their claim to be pro-life due to their acquiescence on the issues of human cloning and embryonic stem cell research (ESCR). Sadly, many Republicans, whose party platforms espouse pro-life sentiments, have succumbed to the demands of the Big Bio lobby, wealthy business interests, and some Chamber of Commerce groups. They, as well as many Democrats, have taken thousands of campaign dollars from cloning proponents. MRL and other groups have called them out and, boy, are they angry! I guess the truth hurts.

For years, some conservative candidates and party power brokers have relished the huge number of "values voters" that tend to support candidates as long as a few "values" phrases are printed on their campaign literature. They have convinced themselves that we will keep holding our noses and voting. Taking us for granted is a huge mistake!

The party mouthpieces want everyone to think that pro-lifers are against ALL stem cell research. It is easier to vilify us and to lie than to acknowledge our support for ethical treatments. And these attacks are coming from our so-called friends as well as our enemies!

MRL appreciates those legislators who are truly trying to understand the issues. The issues can be confusing. By winning hearts and minds, we increase our ability to protect life in statute. We have consistently

and persistently offered to legislators and to candidates the opportunity to learn the truth about the issues.

But . . . problems arose when a politician used the name of Missouri Right to Life to get elected, and then accepted cloning money or trashed us publicly because we wouldn't "go along to get along" -- wouldn't "play the game". We know, and our members know, that life isn't a game. It is a gift from God.

Apparently not all see it so seriously. Last year, there were candidates who supported the cloning initiative, Amendment 2, but claimed to be pro-life. When MRL-PAC would not endorse their candidacy, party operatives got sneaky. They sent out mailers mimicking the name of MRL (i.e. "Missourians For Life") to fool conservative voters. Or they stated on their web sites, "endorsed by Missouri Right to Life" when the endorsement wasn't current. Missouri Right to Life PAC will speak out when attempts are made to deceive pro-life voters!

Party labels seem to be blurring, which is why it is all the more needful that you use member resources like MRL News to see each candidate's positions during elections. Look up your legislator's record in our General Assembly Scorecard edition of the *Missouri Right to Life News*. Call us with questions. We are just a phone call or click away if you wish to verify a candidates' or legislators' claims on their pro-life credentials.

MRL believes it is crucial to carefully evaluate legislative and executive candidates. We do that through candidate surveys, interviews, voting records, etc. We commit to you that we will be conscientious in evaluating candidates for endorsement.

Missouri Right to Life is you! If you did not expect accountability from legislators on Life issues, you wouldn't support us. Thank you for your support! Please continue your generous help! Our staff and our Board of Directors work tirelessly to make good decisions on legislation, on day-to-day Capitol occurrences, and on PAC endorsements.

So . . . I write this as a personal invitation for you to prayerfully consider running for office.

You see, my mind has changed about the attributes necessary to hold public office. Now I know that what is needed is men and women of honor with unflinching resolve to stand for what is right. Men and women who are committed to being pro-life -- regardless of the pressures of the office, the promises made, or the party consequences. And you don't have to be rich, highly-educated, and good-looking. But it's okay if you are!

ARE YOU REGISTERED TO VOTE? NOW'S THE PERFECT TIME TO GET THAT DONE!

If you will be 18 years old on Election Day, and you are a U.S. citizen and a Missouri resident, you are qualified to vote. You may register at your local election authority, such as the Board

of Election Commission office or the County Clerk office. You may also register at any Department of Motor Vehicles office, or a state agency providing service to the public (such as WIC, Social Services, etc).

In order to vote in the 2008 Presidential Primary, you must register by January 9, 2008.

SELECTION OF APPELLATE JUDGES IN MISSOURI

JIM COLE, GENERAL COUNSEL

The manner of appointing judges to the appellate courts of Missouri has become a political issue. At least three resolutions were offered in the state legislature in 2007 to change the appointment mechanism. The incumbent Governor seeks a change in order, he says, to end the reign of “activist judges.”

It does not appear beneficial for the pro-life movement to join this effort. To focus only on the abortion issue, the Missouri Supreme Court, a majority of which was appointed by Democratic governors, has been favorable to the pro-life cause. It upheld the essential features of the pro-life 2003 Women’s Right to Know Act and also the pro-life act enacted in 2005 that gave parents the right to sue anyone who helps their pregnant teens to get abortions without parental consent. These decisions stand in marked contrast to the knee-jerk hostility to pro-life statutes that certain federal judges have exhibited in the past.

It must be conceded that the cases presented to the Missouri Supreme Court to date have not involved substantial restrictions on performing abortions *per se*. Now that it is becoming more common for abortionists to file their suits in state courts along with federal courts, it is prudent to consider whether any change in how state appellate judges are appointed would improve the prospects of sustaining pro-life legislative victories.

... it is prudent to consider whether any change in how state appellate judges are appointed would improve the prospects of sustaining pro-life legislative victories.

Under the current system, when a vacancy on an appellate court occurs, the Appellate Judicial Commission solicits applications, conducts interviews and investigations, and presents three nominees to the Governor. The Commission is composed of the current Chief Justice of the Missouri Supreme Court, three lay persons, and three attorneys. The attorneys are elected by their colleagues, districts of the Court of Appeals. The lay persons are selected by the Governor. When they submit the panel of three nominees, the Governor has 60 days to select one of the three for the bench.

Anecdotal evidence suggests that this system bypasses overt partisanship on the part of potential judges by putting a premium on lobbying the members of the Commission who decide the panel that goes to the Governor. Most attorneys and lower court judges who desire to win a place on a higher court will organize a campaign of recommendations from their friends and legal acquaintances to the Commission. While the relative importance of such lobbying may vary among com-

missioners, the number of contacts on behalf of each applicant must have some effect. One who applies for an appellate judgeship without several dozen such recommendations may not be taken as a serious candidate.

A governor can have great influence in informal contacts with the Commission. It has been obvious in certain past instances that the sitting governor wanted to put a particular lawyer on an appellate court, and past Commissions have accommodated that desire. In the last two decades, three governors have appointed their general counsel or chief of staff to appellate court positions in this manner. However, if some members of the Commission are antagonistic to a sitting governor, it may take a few years for the governor to influence the membership of the Commission sufficiently to achieve the advance, informal voice on judicial candidates that he or she may seek.

What are the alternatives to the “Missouri Plan,” as our system is known nationwide? The old system was partisan elections. Illinois still has partisan elections for its higher courts.

The last election for a seat on the Supreme Court of Illinois saw each candidate spend over a million dollars for election advertising. Sadly enough, it is pro-abortion supporters who can draw big money contribu-

tions for election campaigns; pro-life supporters usually don’t have a lot of money.

Many other alternatives are possible. In 2007, legislative proposals included selection of a potential judge by the Governor and confirmation by (1) the state Senate or (2) a new Judicial Confirmation Commission. The first offers the spectacle of repeating in Jefferson City what now occurs in Washington when a vacancy occurs on the U. S. Supreme Court. The second offers uncertainty, for one cannot know how a new Judicial Confirmation Commission would work. The proposed measure called for all Commission members to be lawyers appointed by certain politicians. The dominance of the Bar would be complete. It is unclear that either of these alternatives offers any benefit to the pro-life movement greater than the present system.

For these reasons, it seems to this writer that proposals to change the manner of selecting appellate judges in Missouri have no urgency for the pro-life movement and that Missouri Right to Life should conserve its scarce resources of time and contributions for more effective pro-life activities.

As always, your donation in the enclosed return envelope would be so appreciated!

Dave Plemmons



He was so young -- just a high school kid when he began participating in the black armband observance of the *Roe v Wade* anniversary. He took part in his first clinic vigil in Springfield, MO in 1985, but it was his reading in 1992 of Carol Everett's *Scarlet Lady: Confessions of a Successful Abortinist* that jolted him into serious action.

That's when Dave Plemmons met Margaret Schatz, past Chairwoman of the Southwest Region of Missouri Citizens for Life (later to be Missouri Right to Life), and he became involved with the organization.

Dave was zealous, but controlled. He was smart, and he wanted to learn everything that he could about the pro-life movement. He was dedicated -- so busy with pro-life work that he brought to mind the Energizer Bunny!

One of those volunteers that you watched and thought, "Slow down, Dave! You're going to burn out and be gone from pro-life work in a year."

But, oh no!

By 1993, Dave became co-chair of the Springfield chapter. He and fellow volunteers dedicated themselves to successfully stopping Reproductive Health Services from bringing their abortion business to town.

In the process of that important effort, Dave planted seeds

in the communities of the area and acquired talents that would help for years to come in his work to help restore respect for life.

Since that introduction in his early years of pro-life work with MRL, Dave has served again as Springfield Chapter Chairman, Southwest Region Legislative Director, and Region Chairman. He has also been a delegate to the state Board of Directors and has served as state Membership & Development Chairman.

He is currently Missouri Right to Life PAC Chairman with a broad range of responsibilities to help elect pro-life candidates at both the state and federal level.

Dave has not given up, burned out, or run away! He continues to be on fire for the Lord, for His little ones, and for the sanctity of each innocent human life.

Dave and his wife, Susan, live in Republic, MO with their seven-year-old son, Evan. In his "spare" time, he is a supermarket manager.

To Missouri Right to Life, he is a gift from God that, just like the famous bunny, keeps on going -- and going -- and going!

... draw your strength from the Lord and from his mighty power. Put on the armor of God so that you may be able to stand firm against the tactics of the devil. For our struggle is not with flesh and blood but with the principalities, with the powers, with the world rulers of this present darkness ...

Ephesians 6: 10-12

ELECTION OF MISSOURI RIGHT TO LIFE DELEGATE-AT-LARGE

Every two years, Missouri Right to Life members elect a Delegate-At-Large to represent them on the MRL Board of Directors. This is in addition to the participation encouraged at the chapter, region, and state board levels. It is again time for members to elect their representative to the board.

To cast a vote for your choice, please check the box next to that name. Vote for only one candidate. If there are two members in household, boxes are provided for each voter. Use this ballot to vote; do not copy it. Your name, address, and member number appear on the back of the ballot.

The candidates nominated to serve the 2008-2009 term are:

1st Voter 2nd Voter Each member is to vote for only one candidate.

Beth Sykora. Beth has been involved in pro-life work for the last nine years. She currently serves as the Delegate-At-Large to the Missouri Right to Life State Board of Directors. She is also an active member of the MRL-Western Region Board of Directors. Beth has attended the March for Life in Washington, D.C. numerous times and also the National Right to Life Convention for several years.

Ellie Dillon. Ellie has been active with Missouri Right to Life since 1989 serving in many capacities. She has been on the MRL-Eastern Region Board and the State Board since that time, as well as serving as Eastern Region Chairman for six years. As 2nd Congressional District PAC coordinator, she also serves on the MRL-Political Action Committee Board.

Clip the ballot and return it to the Missouri Right to Life state office at the following address. The ballot must be received by MRL by December 31, 2007. Thank you for participating.

Mail to: Missouri Right to Life, P. O. Box 651, Jefferson City MO 65102



HAVE QUESTIONS ABOUT PRO-LIFE ISSUES?
 WONDERING ABOUT PRO-LIFE COURT
 CASES OR LEGISLATION?
 WANT TO TAKE A MORE ACTIVE ROLE IN
 PRO-LIFE WORK?

Then visit the

MISSOURI RIGHT TO LIFE

website at www.missourilife.org

*Save This
Date!*



Missouri Right to Life Lobby Day

Tuesday, March 11, 2008

10:00 a.m. - 2:00 p.m.

Missouri State Capitol

Jefferson City MO



As soon as the holidays are over, take time to plan your trip to Jefferson City for Missouri Right to Life's annual Lobby Day. This is an important day to talk with your legislators about pro-life issues that are so important to you. Complete information will be in the next *MRL News*. Plan to be there!

March For Life

Come along with other pro-lifers to Washington, D.C. for the 35th annual March for Life

The following are contacts and information, where available, for trips from your area of the state:

The **St. Louis area** trip will depart on motor coach buses on Monday, January 21 and will return on Wednesday, January 23. Buses will leave from various sites in eastern Missouri and southern Illinois. Contact Tricia Davies at 314-822-8516 or email LifeCaravan@aol.com.

The **Cape Girardeau/Poplar Bluff/Springfield** trip will travel on motor coaches, and they will leave on Sunday, January 20 and return on Wednesday, January 23. Monday night will be spent in Washington, D.C. at the gym of Catholic University of America. Contact Kathleen Keesee at 573-334-7298 or email kkeesee@charter.net.

Kansas City Contact the Missouri Right to Life Western Region office at 816-353-4113.

Jefferson City - Catholic Diocese trip. **All faith traditions welcome.** Leave St. Joseph Cathedral, Jefferson City at 3:00 p.m. Sunday, January 20. Return January 23. Mass at the National Shrine, Youth Rally and Mass at MCI Center, Tour U.S. Holocaust Memorial. Contact Julie Wieberg at 573-635-9127.



MISSOURI RIGHT TO LIFE

P. O. Box 651
 Jefferson City MO 65102

NON PROFIT
 U.S. POSTAGE
PAID
 JEFFERSON CITY, MO
 PERMIT NO. 206

*Missouri Right to Life
 wishes you the
 Blessings of
 Christmas
 and
 The Peace of
 The Child Jesus
 whom we celebrate*

